



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,371	02/21/2006	David George Allen	P33153	3499

20462 7590 03/13/2008  
SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION  
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY-US, UW2220  
P. O. BOX 1539  
KING OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406-0939

EXAMINER
----------

RAHMANI, NILOOFAR

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1625

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

03/13/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

US\_cipkop@gsk.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/540,371	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ALLEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> NILOOFAR RAHMANI	<b>Art Unit</b> 1625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NILOOFAR RAHMANI. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) James Kanagy. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 21 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-43, 48, 50 and 53-63.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1) Claims 53-57 are method of treatment claims and should have been rejected under 112, 1<sup>st</sup> written description and enablement. However, the attorney agreed to delete these claims to further prosecution. 2) Claim 56, which was not in the set of claims on dated 06/23/200, will be in the new sets of claims...

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/D. Margaret Seaman/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required